

APPENDIX E

PROBABILISTIC BASIS FOR SAC/FEMA GUIDELINES

This appendix outlines the probabilistic framework for seismic design and assessment of steel frame buildings in the SAC/FEMA guidelines. The following material is basically excerpted from Cornell et al. (2002).

E.1 Basic approach

Seismic structural behavior in SAC/FEMA guidelines is represented explicitly by nonlinear, dynamic, displacement-based quantities. Both demand D and capacity C are measured in terms of maximum interstory drift ratios. From the total probability theorem, the probability of a performance level not being met can be evaluated as

$$\begin{aligned} P_{PL} = P[C \leq D] &= \int P[C \leq D | D = d] |dH_D(d)| \\ &\approx \int P[C \leq d] |dH_D(d)| \end{aligned} \quad (E.1)$$

where $H_D(d)$ is the (structure-specific) drift hazard curve that provides the (mean) probability of the drift demand D exceeding any specified value d . It is obtained from

$$H_D(d) = P[D \geq d] = \int P[D \geq d | S_a = s_a] |dH(s_a)| \quad (E.2)$$

where $|dH(s_a)|$ is the absolute value of the derivative of the site's spectral acceleration hazard curve times dx , which is approximately the likelihood of $S_a = s_a$.

Closed-form formulas can be derived based on a series of assumptions. First, by assuming that conditional median drift demand \hat{D} can be approximated by

$$\hat{D} = a(S_a)^b \quad (E.3)$$

and the drift demand D follows a lognormal distribution about its median value \hat{D} with a standard deviation of $\beta_{D|S_a}$, the integrand of Equation E.2 becomes

$$P[D \geq d | S_a = s_a] = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\ln[d/as_a^b]}{\beta_{D|S_a}}\right) \quad (\text{E.4})$$

in which Φ is the standardized Gaussian distribution function.

Further assuming that the site hazard curve can be approximated by

$$H(s_a) = P[S_a \geq s_a] = k_o s_a^{-k} \quad (\text{E.5})$$

Equation E.2 can then be derived as

$$H_D(d) = H(s_a^d) \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{k^2}{b^2} \beta_{D|S_a}^2\right] \quad (\text{E.6})$$

in which $s_a^d = (d/a)^{1/b}$ the spectral acceleration associated with the drift demand d .

Assuming the drift capacity C also follows a lognormal distribution about its median value \hat{C} with a standard deviation of β_C , the integrand of Equation E.2 becomes

$$P[C \leq d] = 1 - \Phi\left(\frac{\ln[d/\hat{C}]}{\beta_C}\right) \quad (\text{E.7})$$

Substituting Equations E.6 and E.7 into E.1 leads to

$$P_{PL} = H(s_a^{\hat{C}}) \exp\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{k^2}{b^2} (\beta_{D|S_a}^2 + \beta_C^2)\right] \quad (\text{E.8})$$

in which $s_a^{\hat{C}} = (\hat{C}/a)^{1/b}$ the spectral acceleration associated with the median drift capacity \hat{C} .

E.2 Uncertainty treatment

By considering the (epistemic) uncertainties in both drift demand and capacity, P_{PL} itself becomes a random variable. The mean estimate of P_{PL} is

$$\begin{aligned}\bar{P}_{PL} &= \hat{H}(s_a^{\hat{c}}) \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\beta_H^2\right] \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{k^2}{b^2}(\beta_{DR}^2 + \beta_{DU}^2 + \beta_{CR}^2 + \beta_{CU}^2)\right] \\ &= \bar{H}(s_a^{\hat{c}}) \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{k^2}{b^2}(\beta_{DR}^2 + \beta_{DU}^2 + \beta_{CR}^2 + \beta_{CU}^2)\right]\end{aligned}\quad (E.9)$$

where \hat{H}, \bar{H} = median and mean estimates of spectral acceleration hazard, respectively; β_H = dispersion measure for hazard; β_{DR}, β_{CR} = dispersion measures for randomness in drift demand and capacity, respectively; β_{DU}, β_{CU} = dispersion measures for uncertainty in drift demand and capacity, respectively.

The median or 50% confidence estimate of P_{PL} is

$$\hat{P}_{PL} = \bar{H}(s_a^{\hat{c}}) \exp\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{k^2}{b^2}(\beta_{DR}^2 + \beta_{CR}^2)\right] \quad (E.10)$$

An (epistemic) uncertainty for P_{PL} can be calculated by

$$\beta_{P_{PL}} = \left[\frac{k^2}{b^2}(\beta_{DU}^2 + \beta_{CU}^2)\right]^{1/2} \quad (E.11)$$

Hence the confidence level estimate of P_{PL} is obtained from

$$P_{PL}^x = \hat{P}_{PL} \exp\left[K_x \beta_{P_{PL}}\right] \quad (E.12)$$

where K_x = standardized Gaussian variate associated with probability x of not being exceeded.

E.3 Codified safety/performance checking schemes

Using the mean estimate of probability as the objective, one rearranges Equation E.9 with a performance level P_{obj} to obtain

$$\left\{ \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{b} (\beta_{CR}^2 + \beta_{CU}^2) \right] \right\} \hat{C} \geq \left\{ \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{b} (\beta_{DR}^2 + \beta_{DU}^2) \right] \right\} \hat{D}^{P_{obj}} \quad (E.13)$$

or in a codified form

$$\phi \hat{C} \geq \gamma \hat{D}^{P_{obj}} \quad (E.14)$$

with

$$\begin{cases} \phi = \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{b} (\beta_{CR}^2 + \beta_{CU}^2) \right] \\ \gamma = \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{b} (\beta_{DR}^2 + \beta_{DU}^2) \right] \end{cases} \quad (E.15)$$

To obtain the associated confidence level, one calculates the factored-demand to factored-capacity ratio

$$\lambda_{con} = \gamma \hat{D}^{P_{obj}} / \phi \hat{C} = \exp \left[-K_x \beta_{UT} + \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{b} \beta_{UT}^2 \right] \quad (E.16)$$

where $\beta_{UT} = (\beta_{DR}^2 + \beta_{DU}^2 + \beta_{CR}^2 + \beta_{CU}^2)^{1/2}$.

The Gaussian variate K_x is then solved for as

$$K_x = \frac{\left[\ln(\lambda_{con}) + \frac{1}{2} \frac{k}{b} \beta_{UT}^2 \right]}{\beta_{UT}} \quad (E.17)$$