

Quantum Computing based on Tensor Products Basics and Illustrative Procedures

Guillermo Morales Luna

Computer Science Section
CINVESTAV-IPN

E-mail: gmorales@cs.cinvestav.mx

5-th International Workshop on Applied Category Theory
Graph-Operad Logic



Agenda

- 1 Tensor Products
- 2 Basic Notions on Quantum Computing
- 3 Quantum Gates
- 4 Observables and the Heisenberg Principle of Uncertainty
- 5 Evaluation of Boolean Functions
- 6 Deutsch-Jozsa's Algorithm



- 1 Tensor Products
- 2 Basic Notions on Quantum Computing
- 3 Quantum Gates
- 4 Observables and the Heisenberg Principle of Uncertainty
- 5 Evaluation of Boolean Functions
- 6 Deutsch-Jozsa's Algorithm



Vector and Space Products

U, V : two vector spaces over \mathbb{C} .

$\mathcal{L}(U, V)$: space of linear maps $U \rightarrow V$.

$U^* = \mathcal{L}(U, \mathbb{C})$: **Dual space** of U . $u^* \in U^*$, $u \in U$, $\langle u^* | u \rangle := u^*(u)$.

$\langle \cdot | \cdot \rangle : U^* \times U \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is a bilinear map.

$U \otimes V = \mathcal{L}(V^*, U)$: **Tensor product** of U and V .

Fact

$U \times V$ is identified with a subset of $U \otimes V$.

$\Phi : U \times V \rightarrow U \otimes V$, $\forall (u, v) \in U \times V$, $\Phi(u, v) : [w^* \mapsto \langle w^* | v \rangle u] \in \mathcal{L}(V^*, U)$.

Given $u \in U$, $v \in V$, $u \otimes v := \Phi(u, v) \in \mathcal{L}(V^*, U)$: **tensor product** of u and v .

$$(zu) \otimes v = z(u \otimes v) \quad (u_1 + u_2) \otimes v = (u_1 \otimes v) + (u_2 \otimes v)$$

$$u \otimes (zv) = z(u \otimes v) \quad u \otimes (v_1 + v_2) = (u \otimes v_1) + (u \otimes v_2)$$

The tensor product is not commutative, nor even for $U = V$.



Fact

If $\dim \mathbb{U} = m$ and $\dim \mathbb{V} = n$ then $\dim(\mathbb{U} \otimes \mathbb{V}) = mn$.

Namely, $\dim(\mathbb{V}^*) = n$ and $\dim(\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{V}^*, \mathbb{U})) = nm$. Thus, if $\mathbb{U} = \mathbb{C}^m$ and $\mathbb{V} = \mathbb{C}^n$ then, $\mathbb{U} \otimes \mathbb{V} = \mathbb{C}^{mn}$.

Fact

If $B_{\mathbb{U}} = \{u_0, u_1, \dots, u_{m-1}\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{U} and $B_{\mathbb{V}} = \{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_{n-1}\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{V} then $(u_i \otimes v_j)_{i < m, j < n}$ is a basis of $\mathbb{U} \otimes \mathbb{V}$, where for each i, j , $u_i \otimes v_j$ is the map $w^* = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} w_k v_k^* \mapsto w_j u_i$. This is called the **product basis**.

If $B_{\mathbb{V}^*} = \{v_0^*, v_1^*, \dots, v_{n-1}^*\}$ is a basis of \mathbb{V}^* , where $\langle v_{j_1}^* | v_{j_2}^* \rangle = \delta_{j_1 j_2}$.

The map $u_i \otimes v_j$ is represented by $D_{ij} = (\delta_{i_1 j_1 i j})_{i_1 < m, j_1 < n}$.

Given $u = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i u_i \in \mathbb{U}$, $v = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j v_j \in \mathbb{V}$, and $w^* = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} c_j v_j^* \in \mathbb{V}^*$ then

$$(u \otimes v)(w^*) = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} a_i \left(\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} b_j c_j \right) u_i = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_i b_j (u_i \otimes v_j)(w^*),$$

thus $u \otimes v = \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} a_i b_j (u_i \otimes v_j)$.



Products of Linear Maps

U_1, U_2 : vector spaces of dimensions m_1, m_2 . $K : U_1 \rightarrow U_2$ linear.
The **dual** $K^* : U_2^* \rightarrow U_1^*$ is defined by

$$\forall u_1 \in U_1, u_2 \in U_2 : \langle K^*(u_2^*) | u_1 \rangle = \langle u_2 | K(u_1) \rangle.$$

Fact

If K is represented, with respect to basis B_{U_1} and B_{U_2} , by $M_K \in \mathbb{C}^{m_2 \times m_1}$ then K^* is represented by its **Hermitian** $M_K^H \in \mathbb{C}^{m_1 \times m_2}$.

V_1, V_2 : other two vector spaces of dimensions n_1, n_2 . $L : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$ linear.
 $K \otimes L : U_1 \otimes V_1 \rightarrow U_2 \otimes V_2$ is such that

$$\forall u_1 \in U_1, v_1 \in V_1 : (K \otimes L)(u_1 \otimes v_1) = K(u_1) \otimes L(v_1).$$



Fact

If K is represented, with respect to the basis B_{U_1} and B_{U_2} , by the matrix $M_K \in \mathbb{C}^{m_2 \times m_1}$ and L is represented, with respect to the basis B_{V_1} and B_{V_2} , by the matrix $M_L \in \mathbb{C}^{n_2 \times n_1}$ then $(K \otimes L)$ is represented, with respect to the product basis, by the following **tensor product matrix**:

$$M_K \otimes M_L = \begin{bmatrix} m_{00}^{(K)} M_L & m_{01}^{(K)} M_L & \cdots & m_{0,m_1-1}^{(K)} M_L \\ m_{10}^{(K)} M_L & m_{11}^{(K)} M_L & \cdots & m_{1,m_1-1}^{(K)} M_L \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ m_{m_2-1,0}^{(K)} M_L & m_{m_2-1,1}^{(K)} M_L & \cdots & m_{m_2-1,m_1-1}^{(K)} M_L \end{bmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{m_2 n_2 \times m_1 n_1}.$$



U : m -dimensional vector space, $K : U \rightarrow U$ linear: $K^{\otimes 1} = K$,
 $K^{\otimes n} = K^{\otimes(n-1)} \otimes K$: n -th tensorial power.

If $M_K = (m_{ij})_{i,j < m}$ represents K , then $M_{K^{\otimes n}} = (m_{ij}^{(n)})_{i,j < m^n}$ represents $K^{\otimes n}$.

Let's write each $i < m^n$ in base m : $i = \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \xi_j m^j = (\xi_{n-1} \cdots \xi_1 \xi_0)_m = (\xi)_m$.
 If $\xi = \xi_{n-1} \cdots \xi_1 \xi_0$, let $\text{car}(\xi) = \xi_0$ and $\text{cdr}(\xi) = \xi_{n-1} \cdots \xi_1$

$$\begin{aligned} (\xi)_m &= m(\text{cdr}(\xi))_m + \text{car}(\xi), \\ \text{car}(\xi) &= (\xi)_m \bmod m \text{ and} \\ (\text{cdr}(\xi))_m &= ((\xi)_m - \text{car}(\xi))/m. \end{aligned}$$

Then

$$m_{\xi(i), \xi(j)}^{(n)} = m_{\text{cdr}(\xi(i)), \text{cdr}(\xi(j))}^{(n-1)} \cdot m_{\text{car}(\xi(i)), \text{car}(\xi(j))} \quad (1)$$



Agenda

- 1 Tensor Products
- 2 Basic Notions on Quantum Computing**
- 3 Quantum Gates
- 4 Observables and the Heisenberg Principle of Uncertainty
- 5 Evaluation of Boolean Functions
- 6 Deutsch-Jozsa's Algorithm



Measurement Principle

Complex matrices. $\mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$: space of $(m \times n)$ -matrices with complex entries

Transpose conjugate. $M = (m_{ij})_{i,j} \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n} \Rightarrow M^H = (m_{ji}^H)_{ji} = (\overline{m_{ij}})_{ji}$

Unitary matrix. $M^H M = \mathbf{1}_{nn}$. $M|_{E_m} : E_m \rightarrow E_m$.

Hermitian matrix. $M^H = M$

Set of states. $\mathbb{C}^{m \times 1}$

Unit Euclidean sphere. $E_m = \{\mathbf{v} \in \mathbb{C}^m | 1 = \mathbf{v}^H \mathbf{v} =: \langle \mathbf{v} | \mathbf{v} \rangle\}$.

Canonical basis. $\mathbf{e}_j = (\delta_{ij})_{i < m}$

Connotation

A state $\mathbf{v} = (v_{i1})_{i < m}$ **outputs** index i with probability $|v_{i1}|^2 = \text{Re}(v_{i1})^2 + \text{Im}(v_{i1})^2$.



Measurement Principle

Being at $\mathbf{v} = (v_{i1})_{i < m}$, with probability $|v_{i1}|^2$:

- The index i is output and
- the computing control is transferred to the state \mathbf{e}_i .

This principle is applied just once at the end of any quantum algorithm, it produces a **halting** state.

If m is a power of 2:

Quantum gate. Any square $(m \times m)$ -unitary matrix $U \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$.

Quantum algorithm. Composition of a finite number of quantum gates, followed by a measurement.



For the particular case of $m = 2$,

- $\mathbf{e}_0 = [1 \ 0]^T$ and $\mathbf{e}_1 = [0 \ 1]^T$: Canonical basis of \mathbb{C}^2
- \mathbf{e}_0 is identified with the truth value **false**, or **zero**, and \mathbf{e}_1 with the truth value **true**, or **one**.
- **qubit**: $z_0\mathbf{e}_0 + z_1\mathbf{e}_1$, with $z_0, z_1 \in \mathbb{C}$, $|z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 = 1$
- $\mathbb{H}_1 = \mathbb{C}^2$, $\mathbb{H}_n = \mathbb{H}_{n-1} \otimes \mathbb{H}_1$.
- $\dim(\mathbb{H}_n) = 2^n$, with basis $B_{\mathbb{H}_n} = (\mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon_{n-1}\dots\varepsilon_1\varepsilon_0})_{\varepsilon_{n-1},\dots,\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_0 \in \{0,1\}}$



Conventional Dirac's "ket" notation

$$\begin{aligned} |\varepsilon_{n-1} \cdots \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_0\rangle &:= \mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon_{n-1} \cdots \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_0} \\ &= \mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon_{n-1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon_1} \otimes \mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon_0} \\ &=: |\varepsilon_{n-1}\rangle \cdots |\varepsilon_1\rangle |\varepsilon_0\rangle \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

- $\llbracket 0, 2^n - 1 \rrbracket \approx \{0, 1\}^n$, $i \leftrightarrow \varepsilon = \varepsilon_{n-1} \cdots \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_0$
- **Information word of length n :** $\mathbf{z} \in E_{2^n} \Rightarrow \mathbf{z} = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^n} z_\varepsilon \mathbf{e}_\varepsilon$



Agenda

- 1 Tensor Products
- 2 Basic Notions on Quantum Computing
- 3 Quantum Gates**
- 4 Observables and the Heisenberg Principle of Uncertainty
- 5 Evaluation of Boolean Functions
- 6 Deutsch-Jozsa's Algorithm



Identity

$I = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$. $I: \mathbb{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_1$ is the identity operator.

Rotation

For $t \in [-\pi, \pi]$, $Rot_t = \begin{bmatrix} \cos(t) & -\sin(t) \\ \sin(t) & \cos(t) \end{bmatrix}: \mathbb{H}_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_1$

If $\mathbf{x}_p = \sqrt{p} \mathbf{e}_0 + \sqrt{1-p} \mathbf{e}_1$ then

$$Rot_t(\mathbf{x}_p) = (\cos(t) \sqrt{p} - \sin(t) \sqrt{1-p}) \mathbf{e}_0 + (\cos(t) \sqrt{1-p} + \sin(t) \sqrt{p}) \mathbf{e}_1.$$

For $t_{0p} = \cos^{-1}(-\sqrt{p})$, $Rot_{t_{0p}}(\mathbf{x}_p) = -\mathbf{e}_0$: gives 0 with probability $(-1)^2 = 1$.

For $t_{1p} = \cos^{-1}(\sqrt{1-p})$, $Rot_{t_{1p}}(\mathbf{x}_p) = \mathbf{e}_1$: gives 1 with probability 1.

A rotation acts as an **interference**, either constructive or destructive.



Negation

$N = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$. Clearly, $N : \begin{bmatrix} z_0 \\ z_1 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} z_1 \\ z_0 \end{bmatrix}$. N is unitary and it **switches signals**. Geometrically it is “a reflection along the main diagonal”.

Hadamard

$H = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$. Clearly, $H : \begin{bmatrix} z_0 \\ z_1 \end{bmatrix} \mapsto \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \begin{bmatrix} z_0 + z_1 \\ z_0 - z_1 \end{bmatrix}$. H is unitary and it “reflects the complex plane with respect to the axis x and then it rotates counterclockwise an angle of $\frac{\pi}{4}$ radians”.



$N^{\otimes n} : \mathbb{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_n$ acts as the “ $(2^n - 1)$ -complement”, i.e. when it is evaluated at the basic vectors

$$N^{\otimes n}(\mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon_{n-1} \cdots \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_0}) = \mathbf{e}_{\delta_{n-1} \cdots \delta_1 \delta_0} \quad (3)$$

where $(\varepsilon_{n-1} \cdots \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_0)_2 + (\delta_{n-1} \cdots \delta_1 \delta_0)_2 = 2^n - 1$.

$H^{\otimes n} : \mathbb{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_n$ is such that

$$H^{\otimes n}(\mathbf{e}_{0 \cdots 0}) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2})^n} \left(\sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^n} \mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon} \right) \quad (4)$$

e.g. acting in the first basic vector $\mathbf{e}_{0 \cdots 0}$ it produces the state that “averages” all the basic vectors with uniform weights.



Controlled negation

$C : \mathbb{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_2$, $\mathbf{e}_x \otimes \mathbf{e}_y \mapsto \mathbf{e}_x \otimes \mathbf{e}_{x \oplus y}$ (\oplus : xor). The second qubit is the negation of the first input qubit if the second qubit was “on”. Second input qubit serves as “control” to negate the first input qubit: “argument”.

C is not the tensor product of two unitary maps over \mathbb{H}_1 .

Commutated controlled negation. $D : \mathbb{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_2$, $(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) \mapsto D(\mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y}) = C(\mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x})$.
W.r.t. canonical basis of \mathbb{H}_2 ,

$$C = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \quad D = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$



C and D generate a subgroup under the “composition” operation:

\circ	I	C	D	CD	DC	CDC
I	I	C	D	CD	DC	CDC
C	C	I	CD	D	CDC	DC
D	D	DC	I	CDC	C	CD
CD	CD	CDC	C	DC	I	D
DC	DC	D	CDC	I	CD	C
CDC	CDC	CD	DC	C	D	I

This group is **presented** by its unit I (the identity map), two generators C, D and the relation $CDC = DCD$. The group is isomorphic to S_3 .

Namely, if $\rho = (1, 2)$ is the **reflection** and $\phi = (1, 2, 3)$ is the order 3 cycle, then $C \leftrightarrow \rho, D \leftrightarrow \rho \circ \phi$.



Reverse

$$R_2 = CDC : \mathbb{H}_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_2. R_2(\mathbf{e}_i \otimes \mathbf{e}_j) = \mathbf{e}_j \otimes \mathbf{e}_i.$$

$$R_2 = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

For each $n \geq 2$:

$$R_n = R_2^{\otimes n} (\mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon_{n-1} \dots \varepsilon_1 \varepsilon_0}) = \mathbf{e}_{\varepsilon_0 \varepsilon_1 \dots \varepsilon_{n-1}} \quad (5)$$

The operator **reverses** the “input word”.



The matrices

$$\sigma_0 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -i \\ i & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \sigma_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (6)$$

- Hermitian and unitary: for $j = 0, 1, 2, 3$, $\sigma_j \sigma_j = \mathbf{1}_2$
- They conform a basis of $\mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2}$:

$$\forall A = \begin{pmatrix} a_{00} & a_{01} \\ a_{10} & a_{11} \end{pmatrix} \in \mathbb{C}^{2 \times 2} \exists c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3 : A = c_0 \sigma_0 + c_1 \sigma_1 + c_2 \sigma_2 + c_3 \sigma_3 \quad (7)$$

namely

$$(c_0, c_1, c_2, c_3) = \frac{1}{2} ((a_{00} + a_{11}), (a_{01} + a_{10}), i(a_{01} - a_{10}), (a_{00} - a_{11})).$$



- The following relations hold: for $1 \leq j, k \leq 3$

$$\sigma_j \sigma_k + \sigma_k \sigma_j = 2\delta_{jk} \mathbf{1}_2 \quad (8)$$

$$\sigma_j \sigma_k = \delta_{jk} \mathbf{1}_2 + i \sum_{\ell=1}^3 \varepsilon_{j k \ell} \sigma_\ell \quad (9)$$

where $\varepsilon_{j k \ell} \in \{-1, 0, 1\}$,

$|\varepsilon_{j k \ell}| = 1 \Leftrightarrow \{j, k, \ell\} = \{1, 2, 3\}$ and

$\varepsilon_{j k \ell} = 1 \Leftrightarrow (j, k, \ell)$ is a clockwise rotation.

- For a qubit $\mathbf{z} = z_0 \mathbf{e}_0 + z_1 \mathbf{e}_1$, with $|z_0|^2 + |z_1|^2 = 1$, we have that $\sigma_1 \mathbf{z} = z_1 \mathbf{e}_0 + z_0 \mathbf{e}_1$ and $\sigma_2 \mathbf{z} = -iz_1 \mathbf{e}_0 + iz_0 \mathbf{e}_1$ are **bit-flip errors** in \mathbf{z} , while $\sigma_3 \mathbf{z} = z_0 \mathbf{e}_0 - z_1 \mathbf{e}_1$ is a **phase-flip error** in \mathbf{z} .



Any state in \mathbb{H}_n , $\sigma(\mathbf{z}) = \sum_{\varepsilon \in \{0,1\}^n} z_\varepsilon \mathbf{e}_\varepsilon$ is determined by 2^n coordinates. If $U : \mathbb{H}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{H}_n$ is a quantum operator, the target state $\sigma(U\mathbf{z})$ consists also of 2^n coordinates.

A calculus involving an exponential number of terms is performed in just “one step” of the quantum computation.



Agenda

- 1 Tensor Products
- 2 Basic Notions on Quantum Computing
- 3 Quantum Gates
- 4 Observables and the Heisenberg Principle of Uncertainty**
- 5 Evaluation of Boolean Functions
- 6 Deutsch-Jozsa's Algorithm



Observables

\mathbb{H} : finite dimensional Hilbert space over \mathbb{C} $E_{\mathbb{H}}$: unit sphere.

$H : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ is **selfadjoint** if $\forall \mathbf{x}, \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{H} \quad \langle \mathbf{x} | H\mathbf{y} \rangle = \langle H\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{y} \rangle$, or $\bar{H}^T = H$.

A selfadjoint map is also called an **observable**.

For any observable H , there exists an orthonormal basis of \mathbb{H} consisting of eigenvectors of H . Let $(\mathbf{f}_i)_i$ be such a basis.

Then for any $\mathbf{z} = \sum_i a_i \mathbf{f}_i \in E_{\mathbb{H}}$, with $\sum_i |a_i|^2 = 1$,

$$\langle \mathbf{z} | H\mathbf{z} \rangle = \left\langle \sum_i a_i \mathbf{f}_i \middle| H \left(\sum_j a_j \mathbf{f}_j \right) \right\rangle = \left\langle \sum_i a_i \mathbf{f}_i \middle| \sum_j a_j \lambda_j \mathbf{f}_j \right\rangle = \sum_i \lambda_i |a_i|^2 = E(\lambda_i)$$

$\langle \mathbf{z} | H\mathbf{z} \rangle$ is the **expected observed value** of \mathbf{z} under H .

Standard deviation

$$\Delta H : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \quad \mathbf{x} \mapsto \Delta H(\mathbf{x}) = \sqrt{\langle H^2 \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x} \rangle - \langle H\mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x} \rangle^2}.$$

Let $H_1, H_2 : \mathbb{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{H}$ be two observables. Then $\forall \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{H}$:

$$\langle H_2 \circ H_1 \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x} \rangle \langle \mathbf{x} | H_2 \circ H_1 \mathbf{x} \rangle = \langle H_1 \circ H_2 \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x} \rangle \langle \mathbf{x} | H_1 \circ H_2 \mathbf{x} \rangle = |\langle H_1 \mathbf{x} | H_2 \mathbf{x} \rangle|^2,$$

and, from the Schwartz inequality, it follows $|\langle H_1 \mathbf{x} | H_2 \mathbf{x} \rangle|^2 \leq \|H_1 \mathbf{x}\|^2 \|H_2 \mathbf{x}\|^2$.

Robertson-Schrödinger Inequality

$$\frac{1}{4} |\langle (H_1 \circ H_2 - H_2 \circ H_1) \mathbf{x} | \mathbf{x} \rangle|^2 \leq \|H_1 \mathbf{x}\|^2 \|H_2 \mathbf{x}\|^2. \quad (10)$$



$[H_1, H_2] = H_1 \circ H_2 - H_2 \circ H_1$: **Commutator** .

H_1, H_2 are **compatible observables** if $[H_1, H_2] = 0$.

Heisenberg Principle of Uncertainty

For any two observables H_1, H_2 and any $\mathbf{z} \in E_{\mathbb{H}}$,

$$|\Delta H_1(\mathbf{z})|^2 |\Delta H_2(\mathbf{z})|^2 \geq \frac{1}{4} |\langle \mathbf{z} | [H_1, H_2] \mathbf{z} \rangle|^2 . \quad (11)$$

If the observables are incompatible, whenever H_1 is measured with greater precision, H_2 will be with lesser precision, and conversely.

A state \mathbf{z} is **decomposable** if is of the form $\mathbf{z}_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathbf{z}_n = \sigma(\mathbf{z})$, with $\mathbf{z}_j \in \mathbb{H}_1$. A non-decomposable state is an **entangled state**.



Agenda

- 1 Tensor Products
- 2 Basic Notions on Quantum Computing
- 3 Quantum Gates
- 4 Observables and the Heisenberg Principle of Uncertainty
- 5 Evaluation of Boolean Functions**
- 6 Deutsch-Jozsa's Algorithm



Evaluation of Boolean Functions

- $V = \{0, 1\}$: set of classical truth values
- There are 2^{2^n} Boolean functions $V^n \rightarrow V$
- There are 2^{n2^n} functions $V^n \rightarrow V^n$
- Each of the 2^n assignments $\varepsilon = (\varepsilon_{n-1}, \dots, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_0) \in V^n$ corresponds with an $\mathbf{e}_\varepsilon \in \mathbb{H}_n$ of the canonical basis of \mathbb{H}_n .

Let $f : V^n \rightarrow V$ be a Boolean function.

- U_f : a permutation $2^{n+1} \times 2^{n+1}$ -matrix s.t. $U_f(\mathbf{e}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{e}_0) = (\mathbf{e}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{e}_{f(\varepsilon)})$.
- U_f is an unitary matrix

Let $A \subset V^n$ and $a = \text{card}(A)$. If $\mathbf{u}_A = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \sum_{\varepsilon \in A} \mathbf{e}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{e}_0$ then

$$U_f(\mathbf{u}_A) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{a}} \sum_{\varepsilon \in A} \mathbf{e}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{e}_{f(\varepsilon)}.$$

In just one step, the weighted average of the images of all the assignments in A is obtained. A final measurement selects a pair $\mathbf{e}_\varepsilon \otimes \mathbf{e}_{f(\varepsilon)}$, with $\varepsilon \in A$, each with probability $\frac{1}{a}$.



Agenda

- 1 Tensor Products
- 2 Basic Notions on Quantum Computing
- 3 Quantum Gates
- 4 Observables and the Heisenberg Principle of Uncertainty
- 5 Evaluation of Boolean Functions
- 6 Deutsch-Jozsa's Algorithm**



Deutsch-Jozsa's Algorithm

Let $V = \{0, 1\}$ be the set of classical truth values. Among the $2^2 = 4$ Boolean functions $f : V \rightarrow V$, two are constant and two are balanced.

$$f_0 : \begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 0 \\ 1 \mapsto 0 \end{array}, \quad f_1 : \begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 0 \\ 1 \mapsto 1 \end{array}, \quad f_2 : \begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 1 \\ 1 \mapsto 0 \end{array}, \quad f_3 : \begin{array}{l} 0 \mapsto 1 \\ 1 \mapsto 1 \end{array}$$

Deutsch-Jozsa's problem

Decide, for a given f , whether it is constant or balanced “in just one computing step”.



Let U_f be the permutation $2^2 \times 2^2$ -matrix s.t.

$$U_f(\mathbf{e}_x \otimes \mathbf{e}_z) = (\mathbf{e}_x \otimes \mathbf{e}_{(z+f(x)) \bmod 2}).$$

U_f is an unitary matrix and is similar to the “controlled negation” gate.

Using Hadamard’s operator H , let $H_2 = H \otimes H$.

$$H(\mathbf{e}_0) = \mathbf{x}_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{e}_0 + \mathbf{e}_1) \text{ and}$$

$$H(\mathbf{e}_1) = \mathbf{x}_1 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbf{e}_0 - \mathbf{e}_1) \in \mathbb{H}_1 \text{ hence}$$

$$H_2(\mathbf{e}_0 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1) = H(\mathbf{e}_0) \otimes H(\mathbf{e}_1) = \mathbf{x}_0 \otimes \mathbf{x}_1 = \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{e}_{00} - \mathbf{e}_{01} + \mathbf{e}_{10} - \mathbf{e}_{11}) \in \mathbb{H}_2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} U_f(\mathbf{x}_0 \otimes \mathbf{x}_1) &= \frac{1}{2}(\mathbf{e}_{0,f(0)} - \mathbf{e}_{0,\overline{f(0)}} + \mathbf{e}_{1,f(1)} - \mathbf{e}_{1,\overline{f(1)}}) \\ &= \begin{cases} \mathbf{x}_0 \otimes \mathbf{x}_1 & \text{if } f = f_0 \\ \mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{x}_1 & \text{if } f = f_1 \\ -\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes \mathbf{x}_1 & \text{if } f = f_2 \\ -\mathbf{x}_0 \otimes \mathbf{x}_1 & \text{if } f = f_3 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$



$$\begin{aligned}
 H_2 U_f H_2 (\mathbf{e}_0 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1) = H_2 U_f (\mathbf{x}_0 \otimes \mathbf{x}_1) &= \begin{cases} H\mathbf{x}_0 \otimes H\mathbf{x}_1 & \text{if } f = f_0 \\ H\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes H\mathbf{x}_1 & \text{if } f = f_1 \\ -H\mathbf{x}_1 \otimes H\mathbf{x}_1 & \text{if } f = f_2 \\ -H\mathbf{x}_0 \otimes H\mathbf{x}_1 & \text{if } f = f_3 \end{cases} \\
 &= \begin{cases} \mathbf{e}_0 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 & \text{if } f = f_0 \\ \mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 & \text{if } f = f_1 \\ -\mathbf{e}_1 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 & \text{if } f = f_2 \\ -\mathbf{e}_0 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1 & \text{if } f = f_3 \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

The quantum procedure $H_2 U_f H_2$, from the basic vector $\mathbf{e}_0 \otimes \mathbf{e}_1$ is producing a vector of the form $\varepsilon \mathbf{e}_S \otimes \mathbf{e}_1$ where $\varepsilon \in \{-1, 1\}$ is a sign and S is a signal indicating whether f is balanced or not. S coincides with $f(0) \oplus f(1)$.

The measurement principle outputs $\mathbf{e}_S \otimes \mathbf{e}_1$ with probability $\varepsilon^2 = 1$. It gives the value S from the first qubit.

