

# Supplementary Material of the Paper “Uniform Mixture Design via Evolutionary Multi-Objective Optimization”

Adriana Menchaca-Méndez<sup>a</sup>, Saúl Zapotecas-Martínez<sup>b</sup>, Luis Miguel García-Velázquez<sup>a</sup>, Carlos A. Coello Coello<sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup>*Technologies for Information in Science, ENES, Campus Morelia, UNAM, Morelia, Michoacán, México*

<sup>b</sup>*Departamento de Matemáticas Aplicadas y Sistemas UAM, Unidad Cuajimalpa, Ciudad de México, México*

<sup>c</sup>*Evolutionary Computation Group, CINVESTAV, IPN, Ciudad de México, México*

---

## Abstract

This supplementary material provides complementary information related to the paper entitled “Uniform Mixture Design via Evolutionary Multi-Objective Optimization.” In particular, we present proofs that support this research work.

*Keywords:* Uniform mixture design, evolutionary multi-objective optimization.

---

## Appendix A. Proofs

*Appendix A.1. Any solution evaluated in MOP1 maps to a mixture*

We prove that given an  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^{M-1}$  such that  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{M-1})$  and the objective vector  $F(\mathbf{x}) = (f_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_M(\mathbf{x}))^T$  produced by MOP1 (Equation (3))

5 is a mixture.

i) First, we show that given an  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^{M-1}$ ,  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ .

Since  $x_j \in [0, 1]$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, M-1\}$  then:

◦  $\prod_{i=1}^k x_i \geq 0$  for  $0 < k \leq M-1$ , and

◦  $(1 - x_j) \geq 0$

10 Therefore,  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ .

---

*Email addresses:* [adriana.menchacamendez@gmail.com](mailto:adriana.menchacamendez@gmail.com) (Adriana Menchaca-Méndez), [szapotecas@cua.uam.mx](mailto:szapotecas@cua.uam.mx) (Saúl Zapotecas-Martínez), [luism\\_garcia@enesmorelia.unam.mx](mailto:luism_garcia@enesmorelia.unam.mx) (Luis Miguel García-Velázquez), [ccoello@cs.cinvestav.mx](mailto:ccoello@cs.cinvestav.mx) (Carlos A. Coello Coello)

ii) Now, we show that given an  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^{M-1}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^M f_i(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ .

Substituting each term of the sum by its definition in MOP1 (Equation (3)) and simplifying, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned}
& f_1(\mathbf{x}) + f_2(\mathbf{x}) + f_3(\mathbf{x}) + \cdots + f_{M-1}(\mathbf{x}) + f_M(\mathbf{x}) \\
&= x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{M-1} + (1 - x_{M-1}) x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{M-2} + \\
& \quad (1 - x_{M-2}) x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{M-3} + \cdots + (1 - x_2) x_1 + (1 - x_1) \\
&= x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{M-1} + (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{M-2} - x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{M-1}) + \\
& \quad + (x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{M-3} - x_1 x_2 \cdots x_{M-2}) + \cdots + (x_1 - x_1 x_2) + \\
& \quad (1 - x_1) = 1
\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

Hence, from i) and ii), we have that given an  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^{M-1}$ ,  $F(\mathbf{x})$  is a mixture. ■

*Appendix A.2. Any solution evaluated in MOP2 maps to a mixture*

15 We prove that given an  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^{M-1}$  such that  $\mathbf{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_{M-1})$  and  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_{M-1}$ , the objective vector  $F(\mathbf{x}) = (f_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_M(\mathbf{x}))^T$  produced by MOP2 (Equation (4)) is a mixture.

i) First, we show that given an  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^{M-1}$ ,  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ .

Since  $x_j \in [0, 1]$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, M-1\}$  and  $0 \leq x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_{M-1} \leq 1$ ,

20 we have that:

◦  $x_1 \geq 0$

◦ Since  $1 \geq x_i \geq x_{i-1} \geq 0$  for each  $i \in \{2, \dots, M-1\}$ ,  $x_i - x_{i-1} \geq 0$

◦  $1 - x_{M-1} \geq 0$

Therefore,  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ .

25 ii) Now, we show that given an  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^{M-1}$  such that  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_{M-1}$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^M f_i(\mathbf{x}) = 1.$$

Substituting each term of the sum by its definition in MOP2 (Equation (4)) and simplifying, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1(\mathbf{x}) + f_2(\mathbf{x}) + f_3(\mathbf{x}) + \cdots + f_{M-1}(\mathbf{x}) + f_M(\mathbf{x}) = \\ & = x_1 + (x_2 - x_1) + (x_3 - x_2) + \cdots + (x_{M-1} - x_{M-2}) + \\ & (1 - x_{M-1}) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from i) and ii), we have that given an  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^{M-1}$  such that  $x_1 \leq x_2 \leq \cdots \leq x_{M-1}$ ,  $F(\mathbf{x})$  is a mixture. ■

*Appendix A.3. Any solution evaluated in MOP3 maps to a mixture*

30 We prove that given an  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^M$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , the objective vector  $F(\mathbf{x}) = (f_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_M(\mathbf{x}))^T$  produced by MOP3 (Equation (5)) is a mixture.

i) First, we show that given an  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^M$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ .

35 Since  $x_j \in [0, 1]$  and the  $L_1$ -norm is nonnegative and  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ , we have that  $\frac{|x_i|}{\|\mathbf{x}\|_1}$  is well-defined and it is always a nonnegative number.

Therefore,  $f_i(\mathbf{x}) \geq 0$  for each  $i \in \{1, \dots, M\}$ .

ii) Now, we show that given an  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^M$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^M f_i(\mathbf{x}) = 1$ .

Substituting each term of the sum by its definition in MOP3 (Equation (5)) and simplifying, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1(\mathbf{x}) + f_2(\mathbf{x}) + f_3(\mathbf{x}) + \cdots + f_{M-1}(\mathbf{x}) + f_M(\mathbf{x}) = \\ & = \frac{|x_1|}{|x_1| + |x_2| + \cdots + |x_M|} + \frac{|x_2|}{|x_1| + |x_2| + \cdots + |x_M|} + \cdots + \\ & \frac{|x_M|}{|x_1| + |x_2| + \cdots + |x_M|} = \frac{|x_1| + |x_2| + \cdots + |x_M|}{|x_1| + |x_2| + \cdots + |x_M|} = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Hence, from i) and ii), we have that given an  $\mathbf{x} \in [0, 1]^M$  such that  $\mathbf{x} \neq \mathbf{0}$ ,  $F(\mathbf{x})$  is a mixture. ■

40 *Appendix A.4. Any solution is a Pareto optimal solution*

Now, we show that any  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega^1$  is Pareto optimal solution of its corresponding MOP. By definition of the formulated MOPs, we know that  $\mathbf{z} = (f_1(\mathbf{x}), \dots, f_M(\mathbf{x}))$  is a mixture for any  $\mathbf{x} \in \Omega$ , i.e.,  $z_i \geq 0$  ( $i = 0, \dots, M$ ) and  $\sum_{i=1}^M z_i = 1$  (see the proofs in the above sections).

45 Let us assume that there is an objective vector  $\mathbf{y}$  such that  $\mathbf{y}$  dominates  $\mathbf{z}$ . Notice that  $\mathbf{y}$  is a mixture. Then, from the definition of Pareto dominance, we have that there exists  $i \in \{1, \dots, M\}$  such that  $y_i < z_i$  and  $y_j \leq z_j$  for all  $j \in \{1, \dots, M\}$  with  $i \neq j$ . Therefore  $\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^M y_j \leq \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^M z_j$ . Since  $y_i < z_i$ ,  $\sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^M y_j + y_i < \sum_{j=1, j \neq i}^M z_j + z_i$ . Therefore,  $\sum_{i=1}^M y_i < 1$ . Hence,  $\mathbf{y}$  is not a  
50 mixture.■

---

<sup>1</sup> $\Omega = [0, 1]^{M-1}$  for MOP1 and MOP2, and  $\Omega = [0, 1]^M \setminus \{\mathbf{0}\}$  for MOP3